CENTRAL FAX CENTER

E¥549896445

PTO/SB/21 (08-03) AUG 1 1 2005 Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patern and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Dilder the Paperwork Ret	DECIDIT ACTOL 1885, NO PERSONS BIE 18		romation unless it displays a valid CM25 control number.	
1	•	Application Number	09/286,822	
TRANSMITTAL		Filing Date	4/5/1999	
FORM		First Named Inventor	Thomas A. Grate	
		Group Art Unit	2142	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Examiner Name	DOUGLAS B BLAIR	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Number	MS1-305US	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)				
	poly poly	rawing(s) censing-related Papers etition etition to Convert to a covisional Application ower of Attorney, Revocation nange of Correspondence ddress erminal Disclaimer equest for Refund D, Number of CD(s)	After Aliowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Letter Requesting to Resend Office Action; Return Receipt Post Card	
SIGNATION OF ADDIVIDADE A PROPERTY OF A PROP				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT or Individual Name SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Epimanuel A. Figra/Reg. No. 45760				
Signature ————				
Date August 11, 2005				
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.				
Typed or printed name Laurie Morgan ,				
Signature Lauril Maralen, Date 8/11/05				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14, This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, process) an application. Completiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 GFR 1.14, This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gamening proparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Tradomark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 1 1 2005

E¥549896445

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PLL

Application Serial No.	
Filing Date	
Inventorship	
Assignee	
Group Art Unit	2142
Examiner	D. Blair
Attorney's Docket No.	
Title: E-Commerce System and Method for Automa Relationships	

8

9

10

To:

Honorable Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Customer No. 22801

11

From: Emmanuel A. Rivera (Tel. 509-324-9256; Fax 509-323-8979)

13

12

14

15 Sir:

16

18

20

21

22 23 24

25

LETTER REQUESTING TO RESEND OFFICE ACTION

In a telephone interview with Supervisory Patent Examiner Andrew Caldwell and Emmanuel Rivera on August 9, 2005, it was discussed that the final Office Action dated March 31, 2005 has never been received in the mail by the Applicant. Applicant was only made aware of the Office Action by checking the status of the application through the USPTO's Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Prior correspondence from the Office regarding this application had been received without undue delay or problems at the correspondence address provided by the Applicant.

It was pointed out by SPE Caldwell, that prior to PAIR, if an Office Action was not received by an Applicant and the correspondence not returned to the Office, the application would subsequently be abandoned, and Applicant would have to petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment.

It was agreed by SPE Caldwell and Mr. Rivera that a letter would be sent by Applicant requesting that the final Office Action be re-mailed and that the time to reply be restarted. In addition to this letter, Applicant will also provide a showing to establish nonreceipt of an Office Action as provided under MPEP 711.03(c) I A.

In further requirement of MPEP 711.03(c) I A., the undersigned practitioner "states that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attests to the fact that search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received."

Furthermore as required by MPEP 711.03(c) I A., included are copies of the docket record where the nonreceived Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed are attached as an appendix.

3

5

9

10

11

12 13

15

14

17

16

18

20 21

22 23 24

25

CONCLUSION

If any issues remain as to re-mailing the Office Action and restarting the period of reply, the Examiner is urged to contact the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: \$\(\ilde{11}\)\[\text{05}\]

By:

Emmanuel A. Rivera Reg. No. 45,760

(509) 324-9256 ext. 245